



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/073,300	02/13/2002	Yoram Reiter	02/23339	6257	
Martin D.Moy	7590 04/05/200 nihan	EXAM	EXAMINER		
PRTSI Inc		VANDERVEGT, FRANCOIS P			
P.O Box 16423 Arlington, VA 22215			'ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1644	1644	
			·		
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/073,300	REITER, YORAM		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
•		F. Pierre VanderVegt	1644		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is a soint of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 36(a). In no event, however, may a repl vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH , cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-13 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 4-11 is/are withdrawn Claim(s) 13 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,2 and 12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	n from consideration.			
Application Papers					
10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
A44a - 1-	W-1				
2) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/I	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/073,300

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a continuation-in-part of U.S. Application Serial Number 09/534,966.

Claim 3 was previously canceled.

Claims 14-17 have been newly canceled.

Claims 1, 2 and 4-13 are currently pending.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on July 17, 2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Claims 4-11 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on June 8, 2004.

Accordingly, claims 1, 2, 12-17 are the subject of examination in the present Office Action.

3. In view of Applicant's amendment filed January 8, 2007 only the following outstanding grounds of rejection have been maintained.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1, 2, and 12 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter that was not described in the

Application/Control Number: 10/073,300

Art Unit: 1644

specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. This is a NEW MATTER rejection.

It was previously stated: "Claim 1 has been amended to recite "wherein <u>all of</u> said plurality of complexes are recognizable by <u>one CTL</u> clone" in an attempt to differentiate the claimed invention from the prior art. Applicant asserts that the amendment is supported by the instant specification at page 51, lines 19-23; page 52, lines 1-3; Figures 3a-3b; page 46, lines 16-23 and page 47, lines 1-13. However, no disclosure germane to the amendments to the claims can be found on any of the pages cited. Furthermore, pages 51 and 46 do not even have a line 23. In regard to Figures 3a-3b, there is no disclosure of a plurality of complexes that are all the same and no disclosure of binding to the same CTL. The figures merely depict a protein band of a single size.

Accordingly, given that the amendments to the claim are not supported by the specification as asserted by Applicant, the amendments constitute new matter and must be removed."

Applicant's arguments filed January 8, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the added limitations do not constitute new matter and are full supported by the specification at pages 42, 47-48 and 52-32. Applicant argues that these citations from the specification are sufficient support for "a plurality of complexes which are all the same (and therefore inherently recognizable by a single CTL clone)" at page 4 of the arguments filed January 8, 2007. however, this showing in the specification is different from the scope of what is claimed. A recitation of "all of said plurality of complexes are recognizable by one CTL clone" does not limit the complexes to being all the "same," only that they all bind to the same clone. The plurality of complexes disclosed at the cited passages of the instant specification, however, are products of the same coding sequence. More than just all binding to the same CTL, all of the complexes are structurally identical. Accordingly, the scope of Applicant's claimed plurality of complexes is not supported by the specification and the recitation is, in fact, new matter. The disclosure is limited to multiple copies of the same complex.

Conclusion

- 5. Claim 13 is allowed.
- 6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing

Art Unit: 1644

date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to F. Pierre VanderVegt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0852. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-4:00 and Alternate Fridays 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

F. Pierre VanderVegt, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner March 30, 2007

DAVID A. SAUNDERS PRIMARY EXAMINER